This article was downloaded by: On: *18 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



To cite this Article Davani, B., Gardea, J. L., Dodson, J. A. and Eiceman, G. A.(1985) 'Hazardous Organic Compounds in Liquid Wastes from Disposal Pits for Production of Natural Gas', International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 20: 3, 205 – 223

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/03067318508077058 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03067318508077058

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Intern. J. Environ. Anal. Chem., 1985, Vol. 20, pp. 205-223 0306-7319/85/2004-0205 \$18.50/0 © 1985 Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, Inc. and OPA Ltd. Printed in Great Britain

Hazardous Organic Compounds in Liquid Wastes from Disposal Pits for Production of Natural Gas

B. DAVANI, J. L. GARDEA, J. A. DODSON and G. A. EICEMAN[†]

Department of Chemistry, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM 88003

(Received October 26, 1984; in final form December 3, 1984)

Samples of liquid waste found in disposal pits from natural gas production were collected at sites selected in northwest New Mexico and were characterized for hazardous and other organic compounds using GC and GC/MS techniques. Purge and trap pretreatment was used in GC/MS determination of volatile organic compounds while solvent extraction, prefractionation and preconcentration were used for isolation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and alkylated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the same waste samples. Benzene and alkylated benzenes were present at estimated concentrations of 10 to 50 mg/L in aqueous portions of pit wastes. Selected ion monitoring with capillary GC/MS with deuterated PAH as internal standards was used to quantify PAH in both aqueous and non-aqueous liquid phases. Over 50 PAH were detected at total concentrations of $130 \,\mu g/L$ to $24,500 \,\mu\text{g/L}$ for aqueous phases and $1055 \,\text{mg/kg}$ to $13,500 \,\text{mg/kg}$ for non-aqueous phases in pit wastes. In addition, total organic compounds detected in GC analyses of pit wastes were estimated as $200 \,\mu\text{g/L}$ to $235 \,\text{mg/L}$ for aqueous phase and $11 \,\text{g/kg}$ to 402 g/kg for the non-aqueous phase. Consequences to aquatic environment from disposal practices in natural gas production are evaluated and discussed based on these results.

INTRODUCTION

Natural gas is believed to have origins similar to oil and is often but not always found in contact with oil and water at pressures between 100–2000 psig.^{1, 2} Oil may actually be produced simultaneously with natural gas from the same well and in New Mexico a natural gas well is defined as a well in which the gas/oil ratio is over 100,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel oil. In high pressure wells, natural gas is not a pure substance but is typically a mixture of C_1 to C_4 alkanes, and several inorganic gases with methane at 70 to 95 + % mole ratio.¹ In addition, a complex mixture of minor components including C_5 to C_{10} alkanes and aromatic hydrocarbons including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) have been found in natural gas.^{3–8}

In production and transport of natural gas, two major aqueous wastes are generated: produced water (or brine water) and discharge water from hydrostatic testing (DWHT) of natural gas pipelines.8,9 Produced water which is stored in open-air pits or holding reservoirs is the wastewater separated from natural gas and hydrocarbon condensate at well-heads. The volume of these wastewaters can be quite large. For example, from 31,172 gas wells producing water in New Mexico in an eleven month period of 1982, over six billion gallons of produced water were recorded.¹⁰ Wastes are disposed using underground injection and waste pits on land surfaces. While pits in the U.S. commonly are lined and discharge to unlined pits is now largely prohibited, in northwest New Mexico most waste pits in natural gas production are unlined. Eventual migration of aqueous contents of such pits into ground water has been observed.¹¹⁻¹³ Even in pits which are lined with clays, formation of cracks or ruptured linings may develop from contact of clay with certain organic wastes.¹⁴ Since DWHT was found to contain hazardous organic compounds at individual concentrations of 7 to $860 \,\mu g/L$ in prior studies in this research program, presence of hazardous organic compounds in such pits was thought possible and considered potentially serious based on composition and magnitude of wastes and on the number, characteristics and locations of such waste pits near vulnerable aquifers.

The presence of high molecular weight organic compounds in produced water and DWHT can be attributed in part to a phenomenon termed retrograde condensation, which is defined as liquid formation by isothermal expansion of a fluid on a dew point gas stream.¹ Formation of liquid by pressure reduction on a gas is a peculiar behavior for fluid mixtures in the critical region and is unlike that for pure substances.¹ Methane in natural gas can be considered a supercritical fluid at ambient temperature and under conditions of high pressure. An important consequence of this condition is increased solubility of large molecular weight compounds in the supercritical fluid.^{16–18} However, as natural gas is passed through a pressure drop in production equipment or pipelines, the solubility of larger molecular weight components is decreased and condensation occurs.

In waste disposal pits located near natural gas wells, produced water is often found with a hydrocarbon phase which is either originally present at saturation with the water or more likely is carried over with water due to imperfect control of flotation value in separators at field-treatment units. Although water contamination by salts in brine has been reported,^{12,13} presence of high molecular weight toxic organic compounds in liquid wastes from these disposal pits is not widely known. An exception is work by Middleditch¹⁵ in which near 200 volatile organic constituents including C_3-C_{16} alkanes, benzene, alkyl benzene, naphthalene, and alkyl aromatic hydrocarbons were identified in the produced water effluent from the Buccaneer Gas and Oil field in the Gulf of Mexico. The objective of this present work is to characterize and quantify when possible organic and toxic organic compounds in liquid wastes including produced water in disposal pit contents located in the San Juan Basin of northwest New Mexico. This work is also expected to provide a base of data for assessment of movement and release of toxic compounds into ground waters as well as into domestic atmospheric environments.

EXPERIMENTAL

Instrumentation

A Hewlett-Packard model 5880A gas chromatograph was equipped with flame ionization detector (FID), automated splitless injector, and DB-5, 10m long, 0.25 cm ID fused silica capillary column.

Conditions for analysis of all samples were: initial temperature, 30°C; program rate, 6°C/min; final temperature, 260°C; final time, 5 min; injector port temperature, 250°C; FID temperature 270°C; carrier gas, nitrogen at 30 cm/s average linear velocity; time for splitless injection, 1.5 min; chart speed 0.5 cm; and area reject, 10. A Hewlett-Packard model 5995A gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer (GC/MS) was equipped with jet separator, model 5885M disk drive, model 7225B X-Y plotter, automated splitless injection port and **OV-1** fused silica Chromatographic 10 m capillary column. conditions were identical for scanning GC/MS and GC-FID analyses. Mass spectrometer conditions for scanning analyses were: lower mass, 45 amu; upper mass, 600 amu; scan speed, 690 amu/s; delay between scans, 0.1 s; electron multiplier voltage, 1400 V; and MS detection threshold, 10 linear counts. Mass spectrometer conditions for SIM analyses were: electron multipler voltage, 1800V; SIM window size, 0.2 amu; intergrate sensitivity, 0.05; area threshold, 10.00; smoothing factor, 1.000; selected polycyclic and alkylated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon with ions chosen for SIM analyses have been given.⁸ Volatile organic compounds were determined using instrumentation already described in detail.9 Sample volumes in GC and GC/MS analyses were 0.5 to $2 \mu l$ delivered using a model no. 701N 10 µl syringe (Hamilton Co., Las Vegas, NV). Conditions other than these will be noted as necessary.

Reagents and standard solutions

Dicloromethane (HPLC grade; Fisher Scientific Co., NJ). cyclohexane (pesticide grade; Fisher Scientific Co., NJ), methanol (Burdick and Jackson Laboratories Inc., Muskegon, MI), and nitromethane (Spectrograde; Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY) were used as purchased. Two types of deuterated PAH standards were used at various stages of this research and included: (A) a acenaphthene (d_{10}) , naphthalene mixture containing (d.). benz(a)anthracene (d₁₂), chrysene (d₁₂), anthracene (d₁₀), fluorene (d_{10}) , and pyrene (d_{10}) in CD_2Cl_2 and C_6D_6 with individual concentrations of 1 mg/mL purchased from MSD Isotopes (Merck Chemical Division, St. Louis, MO) and (B) a mixture of naphthalene (d_8) , phenanthrene (d_{10}) , and anthracene (d_{10}) in methylene chloride at individual concentrations of 0.66 mg/ml was obtained from Supleco, Inc. (Houston, TX). Additionally, 1 ml of 1:50 dilution of saturated solution of benzene (Pesticide grade, Fisher Scientific Co., Fair Lawn, NJ) was used as external standard to estimate the approximate relative concentration of benzene and alkylated benzene in purge and trap analysis of waste samples.

Samples

Grab samples were drawn from waste pits using a 3 meter sampling rod with container attached to end of pole. Attempts were made to collect both aqueous and non-aqueous (surface film) without concern over mass balance of phases. Exact locations and descriptions of pits with quadrant location and informal names used in this study were: (1) Cuba, 15 miles west of Cuba, NM, Sec. 29 T22N R3W, $5 \times 5m$ unlined pit, 5 cm thick black film on surface, water below surface was dark brown; (2) Bloomfield, within 60 feet of San Juan River in flood plain, Sec. 27 T29N R11W, $5 \times 5m$ unlined pit with very little liquid wastes but dark stain on sides of pit, waste has slight oil sheen; (3) Archuleta, Sec. 16 T30N R8W, several miles upstream on NW bank of San Juan River from intersection of highways 173 and



FIGURE 1 Map of locations of waste pits. Locations on map designated by letter is referenced to text according to: (a) Cuba, (b) Bloomfield, (c) Archuleta, (d) Aztec, (e) Flora Vista 1E and (f) Flora Vista 1. Attempt was made to locate letter in general vicinity of associated waste pit.

511 near Archuleta, pit was 3 to 4 m diameter, fiberglass pit with 5 to 10 cm of thick oil-like phase on surface; (4) Flora Vista 1E, Sec. 23 T30N R12W at SW4/SW4, well located in the community of Flora Vista and within 10 to 20 m of Animas River on a flood plain, fiberglass pit with dark oily brown surface phase and murky brown aqueous phase; (5) Flora Vista 1E(A), same pit sampled four months earlier and stored at 1–2 C; (6) Flora Vista 1, near Flora Vista, NM at Sec. 23 T30N R12W at NW4/NW4, largely solid non-aqueous wastes in fiberglass pit; (7) Aztec, location off highway 173 halfway between Aztec and Archuleta, NM, Sec. 27 T30N R9W at SE4NW4F, 10×10 m unlined pit on mesa above San Juan River, virtually no water with yellow wax contents and dark high water mark near top of pit nearly 1 meter above surface.

Procedures

Samples were analyzed for volatile organic compounds using purge and trap method.⁹ Conditions for purging were: sample size, 1-5 mL; flow rate of purge gas (N₂), 40 mL/min; time of purge, 12 min; and size of Tenax-GC sorbent trap, 100 mg. Contents of traps were then analyzed using packed column GC/MS with direct thermal desorption of Tenax-GC traps. A 2 m long × 2 mm ID borosilicate glass column packed with 3% OV-101 on Chromosorb W was used for analysis. Conditions for desorption were: temperature for desorption, 150°C; time of desorption, 1.5 min after a 0.5 min period of preheating; and switching valve temperature; 150°C.

A solvent-extraction procedure described by Bartel *et al.*¹⁹ and modified by Eiceman *et al.*⁸ was used for isolation and concentration of PAH in the samples. Liquid wastes usually but not always had two phases, aqueous and non-aqueous. The non-aqueous phase had viscous properties with distinct petrochemical odor. The aqueous samples were highly colored and also had a petrochemical odor. Samples were separated into aqueous and non-aqueous phases when both were available, however in all cases phases were extracted individually. In each analysis prior to extraction, samples of 50 mL produced water (aqueous phase) and 2 grams of the non-aqueous phase were spiked with 20–30 μ L of deuterated PAH standards. In analysis of each aqueous liquid phase, 50 mL of sample were extracted in triplicate with 50 mL of methylene chloride. Extracts were condensed to ca. 3 mL using rotary evaporator (Buchii Brinkmann Co., NY) and further reduced in volume to 1 mL using a gentle stream of nitrogen gas prior to prefractionation procedures. In analysis of non-aqueous phases, 0.45 gram of sample was diluted to 1 mL with methylene chloride and analyzed directly by GC without any preextraction. A procedure blank was used to insure no contamination from solvent or glassware. Extraction efficiency of PAH as well as confirmation of identity using retention indices was possible through comparison of results to those for deuterated PAH standards.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Volatile constituents of waste pits

Although contents of waste pits had been exposed to ambient atmosphere at temperatures as great as 32°C for unknown duration, a discernable odor was detected in aqueous and non-aqueous phases as present in each sample. Results from GC/MS characterization of volatile organic compounds in the aqueous phase are given in Table 1 and in Figure 2A. In Figure 2A, a total ion chromatogram (TIC) is shown from GC/MS analyses of aqueous portion of the Cuba sample for volatile organic compounds. At least 40 resolved or partially resolved components were seen in the TIC and are representative of results found with other water samples. The identities of major components in this and other samples are listed in Table 1 along with abundance values as rough measure of relative concentrations. In the water phase, the range of estimated total concentrations of volatile compounds was 34 to 500 mg/L. These results were reported here only as estimated concentration since matrices were too complex for accurate external standardization and a broad range of deuterated alkylated benzenes was not available for internal standardization. Moreover, large amounts of volatile organic compounds were unexpected since evaporation of volatile compounds to the atmosphere was initially believed to result in trace concentrations of these compounds in all waste pit liquids, particularly aqueous phase. Two major classes of compounds were detected in these samples and were alkane/alkenes and benzene/alkylated

Summary of volatile organic compounds in aqueous phase of waste pits from natural gas production. TABLE I

Absolute MS abundances ³ for index Compound Cuba Archuleta Bloomfield 693 $C_4H_3(alkene)$ I_1431 ND $ -$ 715 $C_6H_{12}(alkene)$ I_1431 ND $ -$ 727 C_7H_{16} branched alkane 681 $ -$ 729 C_7H_{14} isomer 681 $ -$ 733 C_7H_{14} isomer 681 $ -$ 730 C_7H_{16} branched alkane 681 $ -$ 805 C_7H_{16} branched alkane $3,945$ $ -$					
Compound Cuba Archuleta Bloomfield $C_{e}H_{12}(alkene)$ 1,431 ND - $C_{e}H_{12}(alkene)$ 1,190 - 1,762 $C_{e}H_{12}(alkene)$ 6.81 - - $C_{e}H_{14}(alkene)$ 6.81 - - $C_{e}H_{14}$ branched alkane 6.81 - - $C_{e}H_{14}$ branched alkane 1,159 - - $C_{e}H_{14}$ branched alkane 1,159 - - - $C_{e}H_{14}$ branched alkane 1,249 - - - - $C_{e}H_{14}$ branched alkane 3,945 -		Ab	solute MS abund	lances ^a	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	 Compound	Cuba	Archuleta	Bloomfield	Flora Vista 1E(A
$\begin{array}{ccccc} C_{6}H_{12}(alkene) & 1,190 & - & 1,762 \\ Banzene & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Banzene & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	C ₄ H ₈ (alkene)	1,431	QN		QN
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C,H,,(alkene)	1,190	I	1,762	l
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$C_{\tau}H_{1,6}$ branched alkane	681			
$\begin{array}{ccccc} C_7 H_{14} \mbox{ isomer} & 1,159 & - & 1,679 \\ C_7 H_{16} \mbox{ branched alkane} & 1,249 & - & 2,243 \\ C_7 H_{14} \mbox{ isomer} & 3,945 & - & 3,920 \\ Toluene & 3,945 & - & 3,920 \\ Toluene & 3,984 & - & 3,920 \\ C_8 H_{16} \mbox{ alkene isomer} & 5,589 & - & 6,767 \\ C_8 H_{16} \mbox{ branched alkane} & 3,984 & - & 6,767 \\ C_8 H_{16} \mbox{ branched alkane} & 3,984 & - & 6,767 \\ C_8 H_{16} \mbox{ branched alkane} & 3,984 & - & 6,767 \\ C_8 H_{16} \mbox{ branched alkane} & 2,843 & - & - & - \\ C_8 H_{16} \mbox{ alkene} & 2,843 & - & - & - \\ C_8 H_{16} \mbox{ alkene} & 3,599 & 351 & - & - \\ C_8 H_{16} \mbox{ alkene} & 3,599 & 351 & - & - \\ C_8 H_{16} \mbox{ alkene} & 1,3911 & 2,848 & 26,834 \\ C_8 H_{16} \mbox{ alkene} & - & - & - & - \\ C_9 H_{16} \mbox{ alkene} & - & - & - & - \\ C_9 H_{18} \mbox{ alkene} & - & - & - & - & - \\ C_9 H_{18} \mbox{ alkene} & - & - & - & - & - \\ C_9 H_{18} \mbox{ alkene} & - & - & - & - & - \\ C_9 H_{18} \mbox{ alkene} & - & - & - & - & - & -$	Benzene		3,117	{	Į
$\begin{array}{ccccc} C_7 H_{16} \ {\rm branched} \ {\rm alkane} & 1,249 & - & 2,243 \\ C_7 H_{16} \ {\rm branched} \ {\rm alkene} & 3,945 & - & 3,920 \\ Toluene & 3,945 & - & 3,920 \\ C_8 H_{16} \ {\rm alkene} \ {\rm somer} & 3,984 & - & - & - \\ C_8 H_{16} \ {\rm branched} \ {\rm alkene} \ {\rm somer} & 5,589 & - & - & - & - \\ C_8 H_{16} \ {\rm branched} \ {\rm alkane} \ {\rm somer} & 5,893 & - & - & - & - \\ C_8 H_{16} \ {\rm branched} \ {\rm alkane} \ {\rm somer} & 2,843 & - & - & - & - \\ C_8 H_{16} \ {\rm alkene} \ {\rm alkene} \ {\rm somer} & 2,843 & - & - & - & - \\ C_8 H_{16} \ {\rm alkene} \ {\rm alkene} \ {\rm somer} & 2,843 & - & - & - & - \\ C_8 H_{16} \ {\rm alkene} \ {\rm alkene} \ {\rm alkene} \ {\rm somer} & 3,599 \ {\rm 351} \ {\rm somer} & 2,618 \ {\rm re} & 2,614 \ {\rm re} & 2,614 \ {\rm re} & 2,616 \ {\rm re} & 2,614 \ {\rm re} & 2,616 \ {\rm re} & 2,614 \ {\rm re} & 2,614 \ {\rm re} & 2,616 \ {\rm re} & 2,758 \ {\rm re} & 1,604 \ {\rm re} & 2,758 \ {\rm re} & 1,604 \ {\rm re} & 2,758 \ {\rm re} & 1,604 \ {\rm re} & 2,758 \ {\rm re} & 2,616 \ {\rm re} & 2,758 \ {\rm re} & 2,758 \ {\rm re} & 2,616 \ {\rm re} & 2,758 \ {\rm re} & 2,756 \$	C ₇ H, 4 isomer	1,159	.	1,679	ŀ
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$C_{\tau}H_{16}$ branched alkane	1,249		2,243	Į
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C_7H_{14} isomer	3,945	I	3,920	l
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Toluene	730	3,729	ł	l
$ \begin{array}{cccc} C_{g}H_{16} & \text{alkene isomer} & 5,589 & - & 6,767 \\ C_{g}H_{18} & \text{branched alkane} & 2,843 & - & - & - \\ & Alkene (U) & - & 2,843 & - & - & - \\ C_{g}H_{16} & \text{alkene} & 2,843 & 2,518 & - & - \\ C_{g}H_{16} & \text{alkene} & 3,599 & 351 & - & - & - \\ C_{g}H_{16} & \text{alkene} & 3,599 & 351 & - & - & - \\ C_{g}H_{16} & \text{alkene} & 3,599 & 351 & - & - & - \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	C ₈ H ₁₆ alkene	3,984		ł	l
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} C_{g}H_{1s} \ \mbox{branched alkane} & 2,843 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & $	C ₈ H ₁₆ alkene isomer	5,589		6,767	l
Alkene (U) $ 287$ $ C_8H_{18}$ branched alkane $ 287$ $ C_8H_{16}$ alkene 351 5618 3721 C_8H_{16} alkene $3,599$ 351 $ C_8H_{16}$ alkene $3,911$ $2,848$ $26,834$ $Xylene$ $13,911$ $2,848$ $26,834$ $R_{2}O_{4}H_{2,0}$ $2,040$ $ R_{2}O_{4}H_{2,0}$ $2,040$ $ R_{2}O_{4}H_{1,0}$ $2,040$ $ R_{2}O_{4}H_{1,0}$ $2,040$ $ R_{3}Niene isomer 6,025 758 14,604 C_{9}H_{1,8} alkene Rranched alkane C_{9}H_{2,2} 6,114 806 7,916 Rranched alkane C_{9}H_{2,2} 6,114 806 7,916 $	C _g H ₁₈ branched alkane	2,843		ł	l
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Alkene (U)	I	287	ł	l
$ \begin{array}{c} \tilde{C}_{8}H_{16} \mbox{ alkene } 3,599 \mbox{ 351 } - 3,721 \\ \tilde{C}_{8}H_{16} \mbox{ alkene } - 3,911 2,848 \$	C _* H ₁₈ branched alkane	I	1	5,618	l
$\begin{array}{cccc} C_9 H_{16} \mbox{ alkene} & - & - & 3,721 \\ Xylene & Xylene & 13,911 & 2,848 & 26,834 \\ n C_9 H_{20} & 2,040 & - & - & - \\ Branched \mbox{ alkane} & 2,040 & - & - & - \\ Xylene \mbox{ isomer} & 6,025 & 758 & 14,604 \\ C_9 H_{18} \mbox{ alkene} & - & - & - & - \\ Branched \mbox{ alkane} & C_9 H_{22} & 6,114 & 806 & 7,916 \\ C.44. \mbox{ isomer} & 2,073 & 1.672 & 1.735 \end{array}$	C ₈ H ₁₆ alkene	3,599	351	ł	l
Xylene 13,911 2,848 26,834 $r.C_9H_{20}$ 2,040 - - - Branched alkane/alkene - - - - - Xylene isomer 6,025 758 14,604 - <td>C₈H₁₆ alkene</td> <td> </td> <td>` </td> <td>3,721</td> <td>l</td>	C ₈ H ₁₆ alkene		`	3,721	l
n-C ₉ H ₂₀ 2,040 - Branched alkane/alkene - - - Xylene isomer 6,025 758 14,604 C ₉ H ₁₈ alkene - - - - Branched klame C ₉ H ₂₂ 6,114 806 7,916 I $1,35$ 1.735 1.735	Xylene	13,911	2,848	26,834	l
Branched alkane/alkene -	$n-C_0H_{2,0}$	2,040		ł	l
Xylene isomer 6.025 758 $14,604$ C_9H_{18} alkene $ -$ Branched alkane C_9H_{22} $6,114$ 806 $7,916$ C.H isomer 2073 1.672 1.735	Branched alkane/alkene			ł	3,789
C_9H_{18} alkene Branched alkane C_9H_{22} $6,114$ 806 $7,916$ C.H isomer 2073 1.672 1.735	Xylene isomer	6,025	758	14,604	Į
Branched alkane C ₉ H ₂₂ 6,114 806 7,916 C.H isomer 2073 1.672 1.735	C _o H ₁₈ alkene	I	ł	ł	9,448
C.,H., isomer 2073 1.672 1.735	Branched alkane C ₉ H ₂₂	6,114	806	7,916	ŧ
	C ₉ H ₁₈ isomer	2,073	1,672	1,735	l

212

B. DAVANI ET AL.

	1,837		1,315		3,630	I	I		I						1				I	I	1
1		6,989		8713		1,345	1,615	1,071	l		1,513	393	6,063	428	789	2,252		1,376			541
1		425		395		743			458			[814					1,124			1
1,266	1,439	5,995		6,283	I	7,506	2,313	1,955	2,909			952	7,781	544	2,169	7,555	887	7,528	5,439	5,033	
$C_{10}H_{22}$ isomer	$ m C_{10}H_{20}$ alkene	C ₃ -benzene	C ₁₀ H ₂₀ alkene	C ₃ benzene isomer	${ m C_{10}H_{20}}$ alkene isomer	$n-C_{10}H_{22}$	C ₁₀ H ₂₀ isomer	C_4 -benzene	Mixture C ₄ -benzene with	unidentified alkane	C_4 -benzene	C _s -benzene	$n-C_{11}H_{22}$	Branched alkane	Naphthalene	$n-C_{12}H_{26}$	C ₁₂ H ₂₄ alkene	$n-C_{13}H_{28}$	$C_{13}H_{26}$	Saturated alkane	Branched alkene
954	959	971	976	993	866	1,000	1,030	1,040	1,067		1,070	1,089	1,100	1,114	1,159.	1,200	1,231	1,300	1,337	1,353	1,373

^aAbundance for external benzene standard = 39,460. Abundance Units for 14 mg/L.

213

benzenes. Such compounds were also major constituents in sample of produced water from the Gulf of Mexico.¹⁵ Furthermore, the same compounds have been identified in other wastes generated downstream in natural gas production and in samples of natural gas in consumer distribution lines.^{8,9}



FIGURE 2 Total ion chromatogram from GC/MS analysis of (A) aqueous wastes from Cuba sample and (B) non-aqueous wastes for same sample for volatile organic compounds.

Composition of the aqueous fraction of liquid wastes in disposal pits was clearly a complex mixture even for the volatile organic compounds alone. Additional evidence for the large complexity is shown in Figure 3B as mass chromatograms from the same scanning GC/MS analysis shown in Figure 2A. Mass chromatograms are shown for benzene, toluene, and alkylated C₂ to C₈ benzenes. Over 20 compounds from this class were resolved as shown in Figure 3B and retention times were consistent with mass spectral results in Table 1. In Table 1, apparent differences in composition amongst samples were sometimes actual differences and not every component was detected in every sample. In contrast, some compounds were present in all samples but not detected due to differences in concentrations of compounds. If concentrations were below a certain threshold, those compounds even though present were not recorded or recognized with the data system of the GC/MS. Nevertheless, since in-field history of samples is unknown, generally few conclu-



FIGURE 3 Mass chromatograms for benzene and alkylated benzenes from scanning GC/MS analysis of non-aqueous (A) and aqueous (B) phases from Cuba sample.

B. DAVANI ET AL.

sions on fresh produced water composition can be made from these results. Also, particularly striking was the composition of volatile fraction in the non-aqueous wastes in contact with the wastewater described in Figures 2A and 3B. Similarities between the aqueous and non-aqueous (Figures 2B and 3A) phases were strong and patterns were nearly identical in range of molecular weights of compounds and relative composition. However, major differences in relative composition may be seen in Figure 2 at retention times of 3.0, 6.0, 7.8 and 9.8 min. These compounds were present at large relative concentrations in non-aqueous phase but at very low concentrations in the aqueous phase. Thus, description of contents of waste pits in terms of aqueous phase alone will be incomplete and inaccurate particularly since the non-aqueous phase may have comprised as much as 50% or more by volume of contents in pits based on observations of this sampling program. Amount of evaporation of water has been determined as low (<5%), even in the arid Southwest and most loss of water was due to seepage perhaps into local groundwater.12

Extractable composition of waste liquids in pits

In addition to volatile organic compounds, waste pit samples were analyzed for less-volatile extractable organic compounds with carbon numbers C_{10} to C_{35} . Results from simple direct GC analysis of samples with little or no pre-treatment apart from liquid-liquid extract of aqueous phase and dilution of non-aqueous phase are shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. Results are shown as bar plots of chromatographic data as concentration versus retention index. Concentration units were ng/L for aqueous phase (Figure 4) and $\mu g/g$ for non-aqueous phase (Figure 5) which in some samples had become solid upon storage in the laboratory at 22°C. Total concentrations of organic compounds in samples as determined from integration of GC total peak area with use of an average response factor were: Bloomfield, $200 \,\mu\text{g/L}$; Cuba, $11,000 \,\mu\text{g/L}$; Flora Vista 1E, 10,400 μ g/L; Archuleta, 11,700 μ g/L; and Flora Vista 1E(A), $23,500 \,\mu$ g/L. Total organic carbon estimated from GC data for the non-aqueous phase were (in g/kg): Flora Vista 1E, 39; Archuleta, 15; Flora Vista 1E(A), 11; Flora Vista 1, 44, and Aztec, 402. Results for both phases for all samples were not reported here since both phases



217



FIGURE 4 Bar plots from GC/FID analyses of aqueous phase from waste pits for extractable compounds. Samples were: (A) Cuba, (B) Flora Vista 1E, (C) Flora Vista 1, (D) Procedure Blank, (E) Archuleta and (F) Bloomfield.



FIGURE 5 Bar plots from GC/FID analyses of non-aqueous phase from waste pits for extractable compounds. Samples were: (A) Cuba, (B) Flora Vista 1E(A), (C) Flora Vista, (D) Flora Vista 1E, (E) Archuleta and (F) Aztec.

B. DAVANI ET AL.

were not always found in all pits. In certain pits (Bloomfield, Cuba) only an aqueous phase was found while in others (Aztec, Flora Vista 1) only a non-aqueous phase was present and collected.

Although organic compounds were present in non-aqueous wastes at concentrations greater than $1000 \times$ those for the aqueous phase, both patterns of bar plots and range of molecular weights in general were very similar. Such results are evidence that when phases are present together, movement of compounds between two phases may be expected. Nearly all samples were complex mixtures with 20 to 130 + components as has been found in discharge water from hydrostatic testing and in pipeline condensate with carbon number range between C_{10} to $C_{30} +$ with a maximum relative abundance seen at C_{14} to C_{18} .

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons have been found throughout natural gas consumer distribution lines and in natural gas supplied to consumers.²⁰ This class of compounds has also been found in discharge water from hydrostatic testing of natural gas pipelines.⁸ Therefore, the presence of PAH in waste disposal pits may reasonably be expected since natural gas was believed the source of PAH in these earlier studies. Results from SIM analyses of aqueous and non-aqueous wastes in disposal pits for PAH are shown in Figure 6 and Tables 2 and 3. In Figure 6, SIM plots are given for 12 molecular ions for PAH and alkylated PAH in both phases for a single waste pit. The presence of each PAH was measured against deuterated internal PAH for qualitative identification and quantitative content. Patterns in composition of PAH in both phases, as shown in Figures 6A and 6B for the Cuba sample, were similar and consistent with the concept of equilibrium between phases. In those pits in which both phases exist and the aqueous phase is covered or protected from ambient atmosphere by the non-aqueous (hydrocarbon) phase, major changes may not occur rapidly in compositions from weathering leading to evaporation or decomposition. However, this is speculation and further study is needed on weathering of disposal pits at high altitude in hot dry climates such as is found in the US Southwest.

Environmental conclusions

The concentrations of PAH in either phase are sufficiently large for concern should these wastes reach groundwater destined for human consumption. While movement of PAH in certain soils is relatively slow, additional study on PAH transport is necessary with sand-like soils in parts of New Mexico and perhaps elsewhere. Results from these analyses show caution is necessary in extrapolating results from waste pits even in a small geographical area insomuch that major differences were seen in composition of aqueous and non-aqueous phases in these samples. The origin for differences is presently unknown. However, considerable amounts of a large number of EPA regulated priority pollutants were found in these waste disposal pits



RETENTION TIME (MIN)

FIGURE 6 SIM plots from GC/MS analyses of non-aqueous (A) and aqueous (B) phases from waste pits from Cuba sample for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.

Downloaded At: 20:18 18 January 2011

B. DAVANI ET AL.

TABLE II

	Concentrations of PA	.H in aqueous pha	se of waste pits from na	itural gas productic	ıb.
		Concent	ration (µg/L)		
	Cuba	Archuleta	Flora Vista 1E	Bloomfield	Flora Vista 1E(A)
Naphthalene	850	480	QN	Ð	500
C ₁ -Naphthalene	770	390	QN	Q	1,900
C ₂ -Naphthalene	1,300	2,500	QN	Q	4,200
C ₃ -Naphthalene	1,400	2,400	Ŋ	QN	3,600
Biphenyl	680	480	QN	ND	450
C ₁ -Biphenyl	850	720	QN N	Ð	1,400
C ₂ -Biphenyl	1,000	1,700	ND	Ð	1,400
C ₃ -Biphenyl	1,100	920	QN	ŊŊ	960
Anthracene	200	430	3.5	130	530
C ₁ -Anthracene	290	560	5.2	QN	1,900
C_2 -Anthracene	260	380	ND	Q	2,200
C ₃ -Anthracene	180	170	ND	QN	1,700

												ļ
320	650	870	650	410	260	280	280	QN	QN	QN	CIN	24,460
QN	QN	Q	Q	ND	ΩN	ĊΝ	QN	QN	QN	QN	ŊŊ	130
QN	QN	ND	QN	300	1,400	QN	ND	Q	<u>(</u> N	QN	QN	1,709
140	360 -	390	430	200	130	100	160	QN	QN	QN	ND	14,740
82	180	140	78	13	65	46	33	ΩN	CIN	ND	QN	9,517
Fluorenc	C ₁ -Fluorene	C_2 -Fluorene	C ₃ -Fluorene	Pyrene	C ₁ -Pyrene	C ₂ -Pyrene	C ₃ -Pyrene	Benzopyrene	C ₁ -Benzopyrene	C_2 -Benzopyrene	C ₃ -Benzopyrene	TOTAL

ND-Not detected.

Downloaded At: 20:18 18 January 2011

TABLE III

Concentrations of PAH in Non-aqueous phase of waste pits from natural gas production.

		U	oncentration (mg/kg)			
	Cuba	Archuleta	Flora Vista 1E	Flora Vista 1E(A)	Flora Vista	Aztec
Naphthalene	160	23	240	80	375	QN
C ₁ -Naphthalene	110	22	290	410	250	QN -
C ₂ -Naphthalene	1,500	190	4,700	1,000	2,600	1,100
C3-Naphthalene	1,600	170	3,400	590	1,200	360
Bíphenyl	54	23	390	72	230	ND
C ₁ -Biphenyl	230	86	1,200	250	450	33
C_2 -Biphenyl	420	120	1,100	280	300	160
C ₃ -Biphenyl	320	85	650	270	45	130
Anthracene	130	52	220	17	150	26
C ₁ -Anthracene	240	66	400	120	280	33
C ₂ -Anthracene	140	34	290	130	200	15
C ₃ -Anthracene	99	23	190	79	66	. 14
Fluorene	27	11	99	30	38	8
C_1 -Fluorene	39	27	130	61	56	10
C ₂ -Fluorene	36	54	84	86	41	10
C ₃ -Fluorene	30	56	19	92	32	10
Pyrene	24	10	26	13	13	9
C ₁ -Pyrene	24	8.6	24	28	13	5
C ₂ -Pyrene	10	8.6	19	30	12	QN
C ₃ -Pyrene	6	11	11	33	11	QN
Benzopyrene	QN	QN	QN	ND	QN	ΟN
C ₁ -Benzopyrene	GN	Q	QN	ND	ND	QN
C ₂ -Benzopyrene	QN	ÛZ	ND	N	QN	<u>A</u>
C ₃ -Benzopyrene	ΠN	QN	ND	ND	ND	QN
TOTAL	5,202	1,055	13,449	3,541	11,895	1,920

ND-Not detected.

and warrant further investigations on movement and fate of toxic and hazardous organic compounds which are generated from production of natural gas.

Acknowledgements

This research has been supported by New Mexico Water Resource Research Institute and New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division (EID) and has been developed during a program of investigating discharge of toxic organic compounds into water from activities of natural gas industry. Aid in collection of samples is gratefully acknowledged for the following: Dennis McQuillan, Dave Tomko, and Janet King all of New Mexico EID.

References

- D. L. Katz, D. Cornell, R. Kobayashi, F. H. Poettman, J. A. Vary, J. R. Elenbass, C. F. Weinaug, *Handbook of Natural Gas Engineering* (McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1959), pp. 13–32, 77–81.
- D. L. Katz, D. F. Bergman, "Use of extended gas analyses in design of process plants," *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Convention of Gas Processors Association*, pp. 18-26 (1976).
- 3. A. Herlan and J. Meyer, gwf-gas/erdgas 199, 364 (1978).
- 4. L. Huber, J. of Chrom. Sci. 21, 519-525 (1983).
- 5. G. J. Van Rossum and B. J. Wolkotte, gwf-gas/erdgas 121, 47 (1980).
- 6. E. H. Osjor and D. Malthe-sorenssen, J. Chromatogr. 297, 219 (1983).
- 7. G. A. Eiceman, B. Davani and J. Gardea, J. of Chem. Ed. 1984, in press.
- 8. G. A. Eiceman, B. Davani and J. A. Dodson, Intern. J. Environ. Anal. Chem. 1984, in press.
- 9. G. A. Eiceman, B. D. Baker and C. S. Leasure, Intern. J. Environ. Anal. Chem. 16, 146 (1983).
- Records from Oil Conservation Division, Department of Energy and Minerals, State of New Mexico, Courtesy of Oscar Simpson and J. Ramey.
- D. W. Miller, Waste Disposal Effects on Ground Water (Premier Press, Berkeley, California, 1980), pp. 294–322.
- 12. F. G. Baker and C. M. Brendecke, Ground Water 21, 317 (1983).
- 13. J. S. Fryberger, Ground Water 15, 155-158 (1975).
- Impact of Organic Solvents on Integrity of Clay Liners for Industrial Waste Disposal, 1979, PB-81-213423.
- 15. B. S. Middleditch, J. Chromatogr. 239, 159 (1982).
- 16. L. H. Gouw and R. E. Jentoft, J. Chromatogr. 68, 303 (1972).
- 17. S. A. Borman, Anal. Chem. 54, 1249A (1982).
- 18. R. D. Smith and H. R. Udseth, Anal. Chem. 55, 2266 (1983).
- 19. K. D. Bartle, M. L. Lee and S. A. Wise, Chem. Soc. Rev. 10, 112 (1981).
- G. A. Eiceman, B. Davani, J. L. Willcox, J. L. Gardea and J. A. Dodson, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 1984, submitted.